arXiv:1102.4134v1 [math.AP] 21 Feb 2011

A Nonlinear Elliptic PDE with Two Sobolev-Hardy Critical Exponents

YanYan Li*and Chang-Shou Lin

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the following PDE involving two Sobolev-Hardy critical exponents,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda \dfrac{u^{2^*(s_1)-1}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \dfrac{u^{2^*(s_2)-1}}{|x|^{s_2}} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

where $0 \leq s_2 < s_1 \leq 2$, $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \in \partial\Omega$. The existence (or nonexistence) for least-energy solutions has been extensively studied when $s_1 = 0$ or $s_2 = 0$. In this paper, we prove that if $0 < s_2 < s_1 < 2$ and the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at 0 $H(0) < 0$, then (0.1) has a least-energy solution. Therefore, this paper has completed the study of (0.1) for the least-energy solutions. We also prove existence or nonexistence of positive entire solutions of (0.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N_+$ under different situations of s_1, s_2 and λ.

1 Introduction

Let $0 \leq s \leq 2$, $2^*(s) = \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$ and $L^{2^*(s)}(\frac{dx}{|x|^s})$ denote the space of f with $\int |f|^{2^*(s)} \frac{dx}{|x|^s} < +\infty$. It is well known that the inclusion $H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*(s)}(\frac{dx}{|x|^s})$ is a family of non-compact embeddings. In this paper, we want to study the combined effect of two such Sobolev-Hardy critical exponents on a nonlinear partial differential equation. More precisely, we consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda \frac{u^{2^*(s_1)-1}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \frac{u^{2^*(s_2)-1}}{|x|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad u(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 \leq s_2 < s_1 \leq 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Throughout the paper, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 \in \partial\Omega$.

Our motivation for studying equation (1.1) comes from the celebrated Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality [4]: there exists a constant C such that for any $u \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} u^q dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

---

[*] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0701545.

holds, where $-\infty < a < \frac{N-2}{2}$, $0 \le b - a \le 1$ and $q = \frac{2N}{N-2+2(b-a)}$. Let $D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\|_a^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 dx$, and set

$$S(a,b;\Omega) = \inf_{u \in D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |x|^{-2a}|\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left(\int_\Omega |x|^{-bq}|u|^q dx\right)^{\frac{2}{q}}}.$$

Naturally, we ask whether the best constant $S(a,b;\Omega)$ can be attained by some $u \in D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)\setminus\{0\}$. For the past twenty years, this problem has been extensively studied. For recent development, we refer the readers to [1, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 19] and the references therein.

When $0 \in \partial\Omega$, this problem was first studied by Ghoussoub-Kang [14] and Ghoussoub-Robert [15], also see [11]. In [11], among other things, Chern and the second author of this paper proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Suppose $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and the mean curvature $H(0) < 0$. Then the best constant $S(a,b;\Omega)$ can be achieved in $D_a^{1,2}(\Omega)$ if a, b, q satisfy one of the following conditions:*

(i) $a < b < a+1$ and $N \ge 3$,

(ii) $b = a > 0$ and $N \ge 4$.

When $a = 0$ and $0 < b < 1$, Theorem A was first proved by Ghoussoub and Robert [15]. The proof of Theorem A in [11] is to make use of a transformation: $u(x) = |x|^{-a}v(x)$. Straightforward computations give

$$\int_\Omega |x|^{-2a}|\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx,$$

where $\lambda = a(N-2-a)$. Then $S(a,b;\Omega)$ is equal to the following best constant:

$$S_\lambda(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda = a(N-2-a)$ and $s = (b-a)q \in [0,2)$ if $b < a+1$. Note that if $b = a+1$, thus $s = 2$ and the question for the best constant is a linear problem. Hence, we always exclude the case $b = a+1$. By (1.2), Theorem A is equivalent to saying that equation (1.1) has a solution provided that either (i) $N \ge 3$, $\lambda < (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$, $0 < s_2 < s_1 = 2$, or (ii) $N \ge 4$, $0 < \lambda < (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$, $s_1 = 2$ and $s_2 = 0$.

To study equation (1.1), we consider the nonlinear functional Φ:

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p_1+1}\int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_1+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p_1+1}\int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_2+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx$$

for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, where for the simplicity of notations, we let $p_1 = 2^*(s_1)-1$ and $p_2 = 2^*(s_2)-1$. It is easy to see that there is positive constants $\rho_0, c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(u) \ge c_0 \text{ if } \|u\|_{H_0^1} = \rho_0.$$

Note that $p_2 > p_1$ because $s_1 > s_2$. Thus, no matter what the sign of λ is, there is $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\Phi(u_0) \le 0$. Set

$$c_* = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi(w), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of continuous paths in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ connecting 0 and u_0. We note that since $p_2 > p_1$, the function $t \to \Phi(tu)$ has the unique maximum for $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$c_* = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \max_{u \geq 0,\, u \not\equiv 0} \Phi(tu).$$

It is well-known that due to the non-compact embedding of $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^{2^*(s)}(\frac{dx}{|x|^s})$, Φ does not satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. Therefore, in general c_* might not be a critical value for Φ. As usual, if c_* is a critical value, and u is a critical point of Φ with $\Phi(u) = c_*$, then u is called a least-energy solution.

When $s_2 = 0$, equation (1.1) becomes

$$\Delta u + \lambda \frac{u^{2^*(s_1)-1}}{|x|^{s_1}} + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

When $\lambda < 0$, the best constant $S_\lambda(\Omega)$ of (1.2) always satisfies

$$S_\lambda(\Omega) = S_0(\Omega) = S_N,$$

where S_N is the Sobolev best constant. Thus, $S_\lambda(\Omega)$ can not be attained in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and as a consequence, c_* could not be a critical value of Φ. In fact, for $0 \leq s_1 < 2$, it is not difficult to see that the constant c_* of (1.3) is always equal to $\frac{1}{N} S_N^{\frac{N}{2}}$ and c_* is never a critical value for Φ. Thus, there exist no least-energy solutions for equation (1.4) when $\lambda < 0$. However, when $\lambda > 0$, $0 < s_1 < 2$ and $s_2 = 0$, the following theorem was proved in [17].

Theorem B. *Suppose $N \geq 4$ and $0 \in \partial\Omega$ with $H(0) < 0$. Then equation (1.4) has a solution, provided that $\lambda > 0$, and $0 < s_1 \leq 2$.*

In summary, equation (1.1) has been studied for either $s_1 = 2$ or $s_2 = 0$. The purpose of this paper is to study the remaining cases for equation (1.1). The following is one of our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and the mean curvature $H(0) < 0$. Then equation (1.1) has a least-energy solution if*

$$N \geq 3,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \ \text{ and } \ 0 < s_2 < s_1 < 2.$$

In principle, the solvability of least energy solutions is closely related to the existence of the entire solutions of equation (1.1), i.e., $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, the upper half-space. The existence of entire solutions on the upper half space has been proved by Bartsch, Peng and Zhang [1] when $0 < s_2 < s_1 = 2$ and $\lambda < (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$, by Musina [25] when $N \geq 4$, $s_2 = 0$, $s_1 = 2$ and $0 < \lambda < (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$, and by Hsia, Lin and Wadade [17] when $s_2 = 0$, $0 < s_1 < 2$ and $\lambda > 0$. Close to Theorem 1.1, the following existence of positive entire solutions will be proved in this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $N \geq 3$, $0 < s_2 < s_1 < 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$. Then equation (1.1) has a least-energy solution $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$.*

To complement Theorem 1.2, we prove the following non-existence of entire solutions of (1.1) when $s_2 = 0$ and $\lambda \leq 0$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $0 < s_1 \leq 2$ and $\lambda \leq 0$. Suppose $u(x) \in H_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N})$ and $u(x) \geq 0$ is a solution of (1.4). Then $u(x) \equiv 0$.*

We note that if solutions are assumed to be in $H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^N_+)$, Theorem 1.3 with $s_1 = 2$ has been proved in [17]. The authors of [17] employed the method of moving planes to prove Theorem 1.3, where the behavior of u at ∞ is needed. One way to find asymptotic behavior is to apply the Kelvein transform to u:

$$\hat{u}(y) = \Big(\frac{1}{|y|}\Big)^{N-2} u\Big(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\Big) \qquad for \qquad |y| < 1.$$

It is a straightforward computation to show that $\hat{u}(y)$ satisfies

$$\Delta \hat{u} + \lambda \frac{\hat{u}^{2^*(s_1)-1}(y)}{|y|^{s_1}} + \hat{u}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}(y) = 0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

But $\hat{u}$ is no longer contained in $H^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+})$, i.e., the integration of $\nabla \hat{u}$ might be $+\infty$ in any neighborhood of 0. In this case, the origin 0 is called a nonremovable singularity of $\hat{u}$. It is a really interesting question : What is the asymptotic behavior of $\hat{u}$ near the singularity? Previously, this kind of problems have been studied:

$$\Delta u + g(y, u) + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \qquad \text{in } 0 < |y| < 1.$$

Under the monotonicity assumption of u:

$$g(y, t)t^{-\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \text{ is decreasing for large } t > 0,$$

it was proved that $u(y) = O(|y|^{-\frac{N-2}{2}})$ near 0. See [7, 8, 9, 10]. For our case,

$$g(y, u) = \lambda \frac{u^{2^*(s_1)-1}}{|y|^{s_1}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda < 0.$$

Then $g(y, t)t^{-\frac{N+2}{N-2}}$ is increasing in $t > 0$. Hence, the methods in [7, 8, 9, 10] can not work for our nonlinearity. We should address this asymptotic problem later.

Our proof of Theorem 1.3 employs the idea of the method of moving spheres, a variant of the method of moving planes. The method of moving planes has been developed through the works by A.D. Alexandrov, Serrin [26] , and Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [16]. Here, we will not require any assumption on the behavior of solutions at ∞, by taking some advantage of the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^N_+$, while compared to $\mathbb{R}^N$. We think this proof might be useful in other problems also. See [21, 20, 18] for some related results.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will prove Theorem 1.3 and a generalization of it. In Section 3, we will employ a blowing-up argument to prove Theorem 1.2. This kind of arguments have been developed for studying the nonlinear equation involving the Sobolev critical exponent, see [7, 8, 9, 10, 19]. The existence of least-energy solutions of equation (1.1) with $0 < s_2 < s_1 < 2$ are obtained in Section 4. In final section, we discuss a perturbed equation of equation (1.1) for the case $\lambda < 0$, $0 = s_2 < s_1 < 2$.

2 Nonexistence of Entire Solutions

In this section, we begin with a proof of Theorem 1.3. We first make a remark about regularity of $u(x)$. It is shown that $u \in C^\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+})$, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For a proof, see [11] and [17].

If $u = 0$ at some point of $\mathbb{R}^N_+$, then $u \equiv 0$ by the strong maximum principle. Hence, we will always assume that

$$u(x) > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We will prove a lemma below.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u(x)$ be a positive solution of equation* (1.4). *Suppose $u \in H^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+})$. Then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$.*

Before giving a proof of Lemma 2.1, we apply Lemma 2.1 to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose $u(x)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.4).

We claim u is uniformly bounded in any compact set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose the contrary, then there exist $\bar{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that

$$u(\bar{x}_i) \to \infty \quad \text{as } i \to \infty.$$

By the monotonicity of u in x_N-direction, we may assume that

$$|\bar{x}_i| \to \infty.$$

Consider

$$v_i(x) = (1 - |x - \bar{x}_i|)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u(x), \qquad |x - \bar{x}_i| \le 1.$$

For some $|x_i - \bar{x}_i| < 1$,

$$v_i(x_i) = \max_{|x - \bar{x}_i| \le 1} v_i(x),$$

here we have used the fact that $v_i(x) = 0$ for $|x - \bar{x}_i| = 1$.

Let

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |x_i - \bar{x}_i|) > 0.$$

Then

$$(2\sigma_i)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u(x_i) = v_i(x_i) \ge v_i(\bar{x}_i) = u(\bar{x}_i) \to \infty.$$

It follows that

$$R_i := \sigma_i u(x_i)^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \to \infty.$$

Since

$$v_i(x_i) \ge v_i(x) \ge \sigma_i^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u(x), \qquad \forall\, x \in B_{\sigma_i}(x_i),$$

we see that

$$u(x) \le 2^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u(x_i), \qquad \forall\, x \in B_{\sigma_i}(x_i).$$

Consider

$$w_i(y) := \frac{1}{u(x_i)} u\left(x_i + \frac{y}{u(x_i)^{\frac{2}{N-2}}} \right), \qquad |y| < R_i = \sigma_i u_i(x_i)^{\frac{2}{N-2}} \to \infty.$$

Then

$$w_i(0) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad w_i(y) \le 2^{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \ \ \forall\, |y| < R_i.$$

Using the equation satisfied by u_i, we have

$$\Delta w_i(y) - \frac{1}{u(x_i)^{\frac{2s_1}{N-2}}} \frac{w_i(y)^{2^*(s_1)-1}}{|x_i + \frac{y}{u(x_i)^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}|^{s_1}} + w_i(y)^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0, \qquad |y| < R_i.$$

Since $|\bar{x}_i| \to \infty$, it is clear that

$$|x_i + \frac{y}{u(x_i)^{\frac{2}{N-2}}}| \ge |\bar{x}_i| - |x_i - \bar{x}_i| - \sigma_i \ge |\bar{x}_i| - 2 \to \infty, \quad \text{uniformly for } |y| < R_i.$$

Given the bound of w_i, we know from standard elliptic estimates that on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\{w_i\}$ is bounded in C^3 norm. After passing to a subsequence, we have,

$$w_i \to w \qquad \text{in } C^2_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+}).$$

Given the above estimates, and the equation of w_i, we have

$$\Delta w + w^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0, \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and

$$w(0) = 1, \quad w \geq 0 \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By the strong maximum principle, $w > 0$ on R^N.

By the classification theorem of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck,

$$w(y) = C_N \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2 |y - y_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mu > 0$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

But we know from the monotonicity of w_i, w must be monotone in y_N-direction. This is a contradiction and the claim is proved.

Let $u_j(x', x_N) = u(x', x_N + r_j)$ where $r_j < r_{j+1} \to +\infty$ as $j \to +\infty$. By Lemma 2.1, $u_j(x) < u_{j+1}(x)$. Since $u(x)$ is uniformly bounded, $u_j(x) \to u_\infty(x)$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $u_\infty(x)$ is a positive solution to

$$\Delta u_\infty + u_\infty^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Again, Lemma 2.1 yields a contradiction to (2.2). Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. The proof uses the method of moving spheres, a variant of the method of moving planes which are developed through the works of Alexandrov, Serrin [26], and Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [16]. We also make use of the "narrow domain idea" from Berestycki and Nirenberg [2].

Define

$$x_R := (0, \cdots, 0, -R).$$

Let

$$u_{x_R,\lambda}(y) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x_R|} \right)^{N-2} u\left(x_R + \frac{\lambda^2 (y - x_R)}{|y - x_R|^2} \right)$$

be the Kelvin transformation of u with respect to the ball $B_\lambda(x_R)$ with center x_R and radius $\lambda > 0$. By direct computations, we have for $y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$,

$$\Delta u_{x_R,\lambda}(y) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x_R|} \right)^{2s} \frac{u_{x_R,\lambda}^{2^*(s)-1}(y)}{|x_R + \frac{\lambda^2(y - x_R)}{y - x_R}|^s} + u_{x_R,\lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0.$$

We want to show that

$$u_{x_R,\lambda}(y) \geq u(y) \qquad \forall y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+, \ \forall \lambda > R. \tag{2.3}$$

To prove (2.3), we first claim

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x_R|} \right)^{2s} \frac{1}{|x_R + \frac{\lambda^2(y - x_R)}{|y - x_R|^2}|^s} \leq \frac{1}{|y|^s}, \tag{2.4}$$

for $y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$, $\forall \lambda > R$.

For $y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$, we write

$$\frac{y - x_R}{|y - x_R|} = \theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N), \qquad |y - x_R| = r.$$

Then

$$\mu_1(\theta) < r < \lambda, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mu_1(\theta)$ is determined by

$$x_R + \mu_1(\theta)\theta \in \partial\partial\mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

Namely,

$$\mu_1(\theta) = \frac{R}{\theta_N}.$$

(2.4) is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}\right)^{2s} \frac{1}{|x_R + \frac{\lambda^2}{r}\theta|^s} \le \frac{1}{|x_R + r\theta|^s}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{r}\right)^2 \frac{1}{|x_R + \frac{\lambda^2}{r}\theta|^2} \le r^2 \frac{1}{|x_R + r\theta|^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

For r satisfying (2.5), we have

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{R} > \frac{\lambda^2}{r} > r > \mu_1(\theta).$$

Let

$$\eta(\mu) := \mu^2 \frac{1}{|x_R + \mu\theta|^2}, \qquad \mu > \mu_1(\theta).$$

In order to prove (2.6), we only need to prove

$$\eta'(\mu) \le 0, \qquad \mu_1(\theta) < \mu < \frac{\lambda^2}{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

This follows from the following calculations, for $\mu > \mu_1(\theta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_R + \mu\theta|^4 \eta'(\mu) &= 2\mu|x_R + \mu\theta|^2 - \mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu}(|x_R + \mu\theta|^2) \\ &= 2\mu R\theta_N(\mu_1 - \mu) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved (2.7), and therefore proved (2.4). It follows that

$$-\Delta u_{x_R,\lambda} + \frac{1}{|y|^s} u_{x_R,\lambda}^{2(s)-1} \ge u_{x_R,\lambda}(y)^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, \qquad \text{in } B_\lambda(x_R) \cap R^n_+.$$

Thus

$$-\Delta\left(u_{x_R,\lambda} - u\right) + \frac{1}{|y|^s}\left(u_{x_R,\lambda}^{2^*(s)-1} - u^{2^*(s)-1}\right) \ge u_{x_R,\lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} - u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}, \qquad \text{in } B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+. \tag{2.8}$$

Write

$$w_\lambda = u_{x_R,\lambda} - u, \quad w_\lambda^- = \max\{0, -w_\lambda\}. \tag{2.9}$$

We first require that $R < \lambda_0(R) < 2R$, then for $R < \lambda < \lambda_0(R)$, we have

$$|x_R + \frac{\lambda^2(y - x_R)}{|y - x_R|^2}| \le |x_R| + \frac{\lambda^2}{R} \le 5R, \qquad \forall\ y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

Multiply w_λ^- to the inequality (2.8) and integrate by parts on $B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$, we have, using $w_\lambda \ge 0$ on $\partial(B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy \\
\le\ & \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} (|\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 - \frac{1}{|y|^s}(u_{x_R,\lambda}^{2^*(s)-1} - u^{2^*(s)-1})w_\lambda^- dy \\
\le\ & \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} \left(u_{x_R,\lambda}^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} - u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \right) w_\lambda^- dy \\
\le\ & \frac{N+2}{N-2} \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} (\max\{u_{x_R,\lambda}, u\})^{\frac{4}{N-2}} (w_\lambda^-)^2 dy \\
\le\ & \frac{N+2}{N-2} \sup_{B_{5R}(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} u^{\frac{4}{N-2}} \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} (w_\lambda^-)^2 dy \\
\le\ & C(N) |B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+|^{\frac{2}{N}} \|w_\lambda^-\|^2_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+)} \\
\le\ & C(N) |B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+|^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can choose $\lambda_0(R) > R$ but very close to R, then $|B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+|$ is small, and we have

$$\int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy.$$

This implies $\nabla w_\lambda^- = 0$ in $B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$ and therefore, since $w_\lambda^- = 0$ on $\partial B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$, $w_\lambda^- = 0$ in $B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+$. Step 1 is established.

Define

$$\bar{\lambda}(R) := \sup\{\mu \mid \mu > R, \text{ and } u_{x_R,\lambda}(y) \ge u(y),\ \forall\ y \in B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+,\ \forall\ R < \lambda < \mu\}.$$

By Step 1, $\bar{\lambda}(R)$ is well defined and $R < \bar{\lambda}(R) \le \infty$.

Step 2. $\bar{\lambda}(R) = \infty$ for all $R > 0$.

We establish Step 2 by contradiction. Suppose that $\bar{\lambda} \equiv \bar{\lambda}(R) < \infty$ for some $R > 0$. Then

$$u_{x_R,\bar{\lambda}}(y) \ge u(y),\ \forall\ y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

Since $u_{x_R,\bar{\lambda}} > u$ on $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(x_R) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+$, we have, by the strong maximum principle,

$$u_{x_R,\bar{\lambda}}(y) > u(y),\ \forall\ y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+.$$

For $\delta > 0$ small, and the value to be fixed below, let

$$K := \{y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+ \mid dist(y, \partial(B_{\bar{\lambda}}(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+)) \ge \delta\}.$$

Then

$$b := \min_K w_{\bar{\lambda}} > 0,$$

where we have used the notation (2.9).

Consider $\bar{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon$, where the value of $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) < \delta$ is chosen so that

$$w_\lambda > \frac{b}{2}, \qquad \text{on } K, \qquad \forall\ \bar{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

Multiplying (2.8) by w_λ^- and integrating by parts on $(B_\lambda(x_R) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^N) \setminus K$ leads to, as before,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy \\ &\le \int_{(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K} (|\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 - \frac{1}{|y|^s}(u_{x_R,\lambda}^{2^*(s)-1} - u^{2^*(s)-1}) w_\lambda^- dy \\ &\le C|(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K|^{\frac{2}{N}} \int_{(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K} |\nabla w_\lambda^-|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can fix the value of δ so that $C|(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K|^{\frac{2}{N}} < \frac{1}{2}$, and we obtain as before $w_\lambda^- = 0$ on $(B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K$, i.e.

$$u_{x_R,\lambda}(y) \ge u(y),\ \forall\ y \in\ (B_\lambda(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N)\setminus K, \quad \forall\ \bar{\lambda} < \lambda < \bar{\lambda} + \epsilon.$$

This and (2.10) contradicts to the definition of $\bar{\lambda}(R)$. Step 2 is established.

By Step 2, we have

$$u_{x_R,R+a}(y) \ge u(y), \quad \forall\ y \in B_{R+a}(x_R)\cap\mathbb{R}_+^N,\ \forall\ R, a > 0. \tag{2.11}$$

It follows, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$, and every $a > y_n$,

$$u(y) \le \lim_{R\to\infty} u_{x_R,R+a}(y) = u(y_1, \cdots, y_{N-1}, 2a - y_N).$$

The above implies

$$u(y_1, \cdots, y_{N-1}, s) \le u(y_1, \cdots, y_{N-1}, t), \qquad \forall\ 0 < s < t.$$

We have proved

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \ge 0, \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

Applying $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_N}$ to the equation of u leads to

$$-\Delta(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}) + \left(\frac{2^*(s)-1}{|x|^s} u^{2^*(s)-2} - \frac{N+2}{N-2} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\right)(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N}(\frac{1}{|x|^s})u = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

By the strong maximum principle, we have $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}$ is always zero or strictly positive. But $u = 0$ on the boundary on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ and positive in $\mathbb{R}_+^N$, so we must have $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^N$. Lemma 2.1 is established. □

The main theorem in this section is the following generalization of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $s_i \in (0, 2]$, $P_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and let $u(x) \geq 0$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{u^{2^*(s_i)-1}}{|x - P_i|^{s_i}} + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \quad in\ \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ u(x) = 0 \quad on\ \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Suppose $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N_+) \cap H^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+})$. Then $u(x) \equiv 0$.

Proof. The main step is to show that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} \geq 0$ as did in Lemma 2.1. This second proof could work for the general situation of (2.12), but the boundedness of u is required! The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. $u(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. Suppose not. We may assume there are $x_j \to +\infty$, $u(x_j) \geq C > 0$ for some positive constant C. Let $u_j(x) = u(x + x_j)$. By elliptic estimates, $u_j(x)$ is bounded in C^2 in any compact set of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+}$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume $u_j(x) \to u(x)$ in $C^2_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^N_+})$ and $u(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x) + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ u \equiv 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

But it is well-known that (2.13) has no positive solutions. Thus, $u \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ which contradicts to $u(0) \geq C > 0$. So, Step 1 is proved.

Step 2. We claim for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$u(y^\lambda) > u(y) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Sigma_\lambda = \Big\{(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N)\Big|\ 0 \leq y_N < \lambda\Big\},$$

where $y^\lambda = (y_1, \cdots, y_{N-1}, 2\lambda - y_N)$. This step is a standard application of the method of moving planes. We give a sketch of proofs for the sake of completeness. Let

$$w_\lambda(y) = u(y^\lambda) - u(y).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_\lambda(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{1}{|y - P_j|^{s_j}} \Big(u^{2^*(s_j)-1}(y^\lambda) - u^{2^*(s_j)-1}(y)\Big) + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}(y^\lambda) - u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}(y) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Big(\frac{1}{|y^\lambda - P_j|^{s_j}} - \frac{1}{|y|^{s_j}}\Big) u^{2^*(s_j)-1}(y^\lambda) \leq 0 \qquad \text{in } \Sigma_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w_\lambda(y)$ satisfies

$$\Delta w_\lambda(y) + (C_1(y) + C_2(y)) w_\lambda(y) \leq 0 \qquad \text{in } \Sigma_\lambda,$$

where

$$C_1(y) \leq 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad C_2(y) = \frac{u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}(y^\lambda) - u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}(y)}{u(y^\lambda) - u(y)}.$$

By Step 1, $C_2(y) = o(1)$ as $|y| \to +\infty$ and $y \in \Sigma_\lambda$.

To prove $w_\lambda(y) > 0$ in Σ_λ for λ small, we consider the comparison function,

$$v(y) = 1 - y_N^2, \qquad 0 \le y_N \le \lambda,$$

and let

$$\overline{w}_\lambda(y) = \frac{w_\lambda(y)}{v(y)}, \qquad \text{i.e., } w_\lambda(y) = \overline{w}_\lambda(y) v(y).$$

Thus, $\overline{w}_\lambda$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \overline{w}_\lambda(y) + 2\dfrac{\nabla v(y)}{v(y)} \cdot \nabla \overline{w}_\lambda(y) + \Big(C_1(y) + C_2(y) - \dfrac{4}{v(y)}\Big)\overline{w}_\lambda(y) \le 0, \\ \overline{w}_\lambda(y', 0) > 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{w}_\lambda(y', \lambda) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Choose λ small such that

$$\frac{N+2}{N-2} u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(y) \le 2, \qquad 0 \le y_N \le \lambda.$$

Now suppose the set $\Big\{ y \Big| \; w_\lambda(y) < 0 \Big\} \ne \emptyset$.

Because $\overline{w}_\lambda \ge 0$ on $\partial\Sigma_\lambda$ and $\lim_{|y| \to +\infty} \overline{w}_\lambda(y) = 0$, it is easy to see the minimum of $\overline{w}_\lambda$ can be achieved. Let $\overline{y} \in \Sigma_\lambda$ such that

$$\overline{w}_\lambda(\overline{y}) = \inf_{y \in \Sigma_\lambda} \overline{w}_\lambda(y) < 0.$$

Since $\overline{w}_\lambda(\overline{y}) < 0$,

$$C(\overline{y}) \le \frac{N+2}{N-2} u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(\overline{y}) \le 2.$$

By applying the maximum principle, (2.14) yields

$$0 < \Big(C_1(\overline{y}) + C_2(\overline{y}) - \frac{4}{v(\overline{y})}\Big)\overline{w}_\lambda(\overline{y}) \le 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $w_\lambda(y) > 0 \; \forall y \in \Sigma_\lambda$.

Let

$$\overline{\lambda} = \sup \Big\{ \lambda \Big| \; w_\mu(y) > 0 \;\; \forall y \in \Sigma_\mu, 0 < \mu \le \lambda \Big\}.$$

We claim $\overline{\lambda} = +\infty$. Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{cases} w_{\overline{\lambda}}(y) > 0 \qquad \forall y \in \Sigma_{\overline{\lambda}}, \\ \dfrac{\partial w_{\overline{\lambda}}}{\partial y_N}(y', \lambda) < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

by the strong maximum principle and Hopf boundary point lemma. By the definition of $\overline{\lambda}$, there are $\lambda_j \downarrow \overline{\lambda}$ such that $\big\{ y \big| \, w_{\lambda_j(y)} < 0, \; y \in \Sigma_{\lambda_j} \big\} \ne \emptyset$. Set

$$w_{\lambda_j}(y) = \overline{w}_{\lambda_j}(y) v(y),$$

where

$$v(y) = (\overline{\lambda} + 1)^2 - y_N^2, \; y \in \Sigma_{\lambda_j}.$$

Then $\overline{w}_{\lambda_j}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \overline{w}_{\lambda_j}(y) &+ 2\nabla \log v(y) \cdot \nabla \overline{w}_{\lambda_j}(y) \\ &+ \Big(C_1(y) + C_2(y) - \frac{4}{v(y)}\Big)\overline{w}_{\lambda_j} \le 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Suppose $\overline{w}_{\lambda_j}(\overline{y}_j) = \inf_{y\in\Sigma_{\lambda_j}} \overline{w}_{\lambda_j}(y) < 0$. By (2.15), we have $|\overline{y}_j| \to +\infty$. Note that

$$C_2(\overline{y}_j) \le \frac{N+2}{N-2} u^{\frac{4}{N-2}}(\overline{y}_j) \to 0 \qquad \text{as } j \to +\infty.$$

Again, by the maximum principle, (2.16) yields a contradiction. Therefore, Step 2 is proved. Obviously, the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from Step 2. □
After the proof $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N} > 0$, it is clear from the last step in the proof of Theorem 1.3, that the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 holds. □

Remark 2.3. If $P_i = P_j$ $\forall i \ne j$, then the proof of Lemma 2.1 still holds. Hence, in this case, the boundedness assumption is not necessary for the conclusion of Theorem 2.2.

3 Existence of Entire Solutions

In this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.2, we choose a convex domain Ω with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and consider the following equation. For any small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda \dfrac{u^{p_1(\varepsilon)}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \dfrac{u^{p_2(\varepsilon)}}{|x|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and } u(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $p_1(\varepsilon) = 2^*(s_1) - 1 - \varepsilon$ and $p_2(\varepsilon) = 2^*(s_2) - 1 - (\frac{2-s_2}{2-s_1})\varepsilon$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we let

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}\int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}\int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx$$

for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and

$$c_\varepsilon^* = \inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}} \max_{w\in P} \Phi_\varepsilon(w),$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of all continuous paths in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ connecting 0 and some u_0 such that $\Phi_\varepsilon(u_0) \le 0$. It is easy to see that $c_\varepsilon^* \le C$ for some constant C independent of ε. Since for $\varepsilon > 0$, Φ_ε satisfies the P-S condition, it is known that c_ε^* is a critical point of Φ_ε, i.e., there exists a solution $u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\Phi_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon^*$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx = c_\varepsilon^*, \\ \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \lambda\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}\right)\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1} - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}\right)\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx = c_\varepsilon^*.$$

By noting both of $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}$ and $\frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1} - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}$ are positive, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \le C < +\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we might assume $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. If $u \not\equiv 0$, then u is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda \frac{u^{p_1}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \frac{u^{p_2}}{|x|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

However, the standard Pohozaev indentity yields that equation (3.4) has no positive solutions because both of p_1 and p_2 are critical exponents. Thus $u \equiv 0$ and $u_\varepsilon(x)$ must blow up as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Before poceeding further, we will briefly discuss the regularity of u at 0. Because $s_1 < 2$, we can prove that

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{if } s_1 < 1 + \frac{2}{n}, \\ u \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{for all } 0 < \beta < 1 \quad \text{if } s_1 = 1 + \frac{2}{n}, \\ u \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{for all } 0 < \beta < \frac{n(2-s)}{n-2} \quad \text{if } s_1 > 1 + \frac{2}{n}, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

see [17].

Let

$$u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = \max_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon(x) = m_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad k_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p_2(\varepsilon)-1}{2-s_2}}.$$

By direct computations, we have

$$k_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{N-2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2-s_1}}.$$

First, we claim

$$|x_\varepsilon| = O(k_\varepsilon). \tag{3.6}$$

Suppose not. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{|x_\varepsilon|}{k_\varepsilon} = +\infty.$$

By scaling, we set

$$\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon + r_\varepsilon y)}{m_\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon,$$

where

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_\varepsilon + r_\varepsilon y \in \Omega \}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_\varepsilon = |x_\varepsilon|^{\frac{s_2}{2}} k_\varepsilon^{\frac{2-s_2}{2}} = \left(\frac{|x_\varepsilon|}{k_\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{s_2}{2}} k_\varepsilon.$$

By equation (3.1), $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(y)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{v}_\varepsilon + \lambda \left(\frac{k_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|}\right)^{s_1 - s_2} \frac{\tilde{v}_\varepsilon^{p_1(\varepsilon)}}{\left|y_\varepsilon + \frac{r_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|} y\right|^{s_1}} + \frac{\tilde{v}_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)}}{\left|y_\varepsilon + \frac{r_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|} y\right|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ y_\varepsilon = \frac{x_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(y) \le \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega_\varepsilon \to H$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where either $H = \mathbb{R}^N$ or H is a closed half space of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Note $\left(\frac{k_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|}\right)^{s_1 - s_2}$ and $\frac{r_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|} = \left(\frac{k_\varepsilon}{|x_\varepsilon|}\right)^{\frac{2-s_2}{2}}$ tend to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then by applying elliptic estimates, $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon$ converges to $\tilde{v}$ in $C^2_{loc}(H)$, where

$$\Delta \tilde{v} + \tilde{v}^{p_2} = 0 \quad \text{in } H.$$

If H is a half space of $\mathbb{R}^N$, then v also satisfies $v=0$ on ∂H. Since $p_2=\frac{2(N-s_2)}{N-2}-1<\frac{N+2}{N-2}$, $v(y)\equiv 0$ in H no matter H is $\mathbb{R}^N$ or a half space. But it yields a contradiction to $v(0)=1$. Thus, the claim is proved.

After (3.6) is established, we set

$$v_\varepsilon(y)=m_\varepsilon^{-1}u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon+k_\varepsilon y).$$

Then $v_\varepsilon(y)$ satisfies

$$\Delta v_\varepsilon+\lambda\frac{v_\varepsilon^{p_1(\varepsilon)}}{\left|\frac{x_\varepsilon}{k_\varepsilon}+y\right|^{s_1}}+\frac{v_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)}}{\left|\frac{x_\varepsilon}{k_\varepsilon}+y\right|^{s_2}}=0\quad\text{in }\ \Omega_\varepsilon,$$

where $\Omega_\varepsilon=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N\,|\,x_\varepsilon+k_\varepsilon y\in\Omega\}$. Since $\frac{x_\varepsilon}{k_\varepsilon}$ is bounded, without loss of generality, we may assume $\frac{x_\varepsilon}{k_\varepsilon}\to y_0$. Therefore, $\Omega_\varepsilon\to H$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$, where H is a half spae of $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $-y_0\in\partial H$ and by the elliptic estimates, $v_\varepsilon(y)\to v(y)$ in $C^2_{loc}(H)$. Clearly, v satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\Delta v+\lambda\frac{v^{p_1}}{|y_0+y|^{s_1}}+\frac{v^{p_2}}{|y_0+y|^{s_2}}=0\quad\text{in }\ H,\\ v=0\quad\text{on }\ \partial H.\end{cases}\tag{3.7}$$

Since $v(0)=1$, we have $y_0\neq 0$. By a linear transformation of y, H can be map onto $\mathbb{R}^N_+$ and v is an entire solution of equation (1.1) with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^N_+$. This completes the proof of the existence part of Theorem 1.2. □

Remark. Suppose v is a positive entire soltion of (1.1). Then the Kelvin transformation $\hat v(y)=|y|^{2-n}v(\frac{y}{|y|^2})$ is also a positive entire solution. By the regularity (3.5), $|\hat v(y)|\le C|y|$ for $|y|<1$. Thus,

$$|v(y)|\le C|y|^{1-n}\qquad\text{for }\ |y|\ge 1.\tag{3.8}$$

By the standard gradient estimate, we have

$$|\nabla v(y)|\le|y|^{-n}\qquad\text{for }\ |y|\ge 1.\tag{3.9}$$

By using the well-known method of moving sphere, it can be proved that after a suitable scaling, $v(y)=\hat v(y)$. Since the argument is standard now, the proof is omitted here.

Corollary 3.1. *There exists an entire solution v of equation (1.1) with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^N_+$ such that the critical value $\Phi(v)=\inf\{\Phi(u)\,|\,u$ is an entire solution of (1.1)$\}$.*

Proof. We first note that

$$\Big\{\Phi(u)\,\Big|\,\text{u is a positive entire solution of (1.1)}\Big\}\neq\emptyset,$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}|\nabla u|^2dy&=\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}\frac{u^{2^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}}dy+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}\frac{u^{2^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}}dy\\&\le C\Big[\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}|\nabla u|^2dy\Big)^{\frac{2^*(s_1)}{2}}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}|\nabla u|^2dy\Big)^{\frac{2^*(s_2)}{2}}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

implies $\|\nabla u\|\ge c_0$ for some constant $c_0>0$.

Suppose v_j is a sequence of positive entire solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_j + \lambda \frac{v_j^{p_1}}{|y|^{s_1}} + \frac{v_j^{p_2}}{|y|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ v_j = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+ \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

such that $\Phi(v_j) \downarrow \inf\{\Phi(u) \,|\, u \text{ is an entire solution of (1.1)}\}$. By the remark above, we can assume $\hat{v}_j(y) = v_j(y)$. By (3.2) again, $\|\nabla v_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N_+)} \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$. Let $v_j \rightharpoonup v$ in $H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. If $v \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j\to+\infty} \Phi(v_j) &= (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1+1}) \lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy + (\frac{1}{p_1+1} - \frac{1}{p_2+1}) \lim_{j\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v_j^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy \\ &\geq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1+1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy + (\frac{1}{p_1+1} - \frac{1}{p_2+1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy = \Phi(v). \end{aligned}$$

Then it yields the conclusion of Corollary 3.1.

If $v_j \rightharpoonup 0$, then $\max\limits_{|y|\leq 2} v_j(y) \to +\infty$, because $\hat{v}_j(y) = v_j(y)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Phi(v_j) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_1} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy - \frac{\lambda}{p_1+1} \int_{B_1} \frac{v_j^{p_1+1}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy - \frac{1}{p_2+1} \int_{B_2} \frac{v_j^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy \\ &= (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1+1}) \int_{B_1} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy + (\frac{1}{p_1+1} - \frac{1}{p_2+1}) \int_{B_2} \frac{v_j^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that v_j blows up at $y = 0$ and the scaling $w_j(y)$:

$$w_j(y) = \frac{v_j(x_j + k_j y)}{v_j(x_j)} \to w,$$

where $v_j(x_j) = \max\limits_{|y|\leq 2} v_j(y) \to \infty$, $k_j = m_j^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}$, and w is also a positive entire solution of equation (1.1). Thus,

$$\lim_{j\to+\infty} \frac{1}{2}\Phi(v_j) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1+1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla w|^2 dy + (\frac{1}{p_1+1} - \frac{1}{p_2+1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{w^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy = \Phi(w),$$

which yields

$$\inf\{\Phi(u) \,|\, u \text{ is an entire solution of equation (1.1)} = 0\},$$

a contradiction. Hence, $v_j \not\rightharpoonup 0$, and Corollary 3.1 is proved. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $v(y)$ be a least-energy solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \lambda \frac{v^{p_1}}{|y|^{s_1}} + \frac{v^{p_2}}{|y|^{s_2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+, \\ v(y) > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N_+ \quad \text{and} \quad v(y) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$c_1 = \Phi(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy - \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy - \frac{1}{2^*(s_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_2)}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 \in \partial\Omega$. If $H(0) < 0$, then there exists a nonnegative function $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi(tv_0) < c_1.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that in a neighborhood of 0, $\partial\Omega$ can be represented by $x_n = \varphi(x')$, where $x' = (x_1, \cdots, x_{N-1})$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\nabla'\varphi(0) = 0$, $\nabla' = (\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{N-1})$, and the outer normal of $\partial\Omega$ at 0 is $-e_N = (0, \cdots, 0, -1)$. Define

$$\phi(x) := (x', x_N - \varphi(x')).$$

We choose a small positive number r_0 so that there exist neighborhoods of 0, U and $\tilde{U}$, such that $\phi(U) = B_{r_0}(0)$, $\phi(U \cap \Omega) = B^+_{r_0}(0)$, $\phi(\tilde{U}) = B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(0)$ and $\phi(\tilde{U} \cap \Omega) = B^+_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(0)$. Here, we adopt the notation:

$$B^+_{r_0}(0) = B_{r_0} \cap \mathbb{R}^N_+ \quad \text{for } r_0 > 0.$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(U)$ be a positive cut-off function with $\eta \equiv 1$ in $\tilde{U}$. Set

$$u_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x) v_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x) \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} v\left(\frac{\phi(x)}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\Phi(tu_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^{2^*(s_1)}}{2^*(s_1)} \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{t^{2^*(s_2)}}{2^*(s_2)} \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{4.3}$$

In what follows, we estimate each integral on the right-hand side of (4.3). Basically, the computation will be similar to Lemma 2.2 in [11]. For the sake of completeness, we will sketch the proof here. We refer the readers to [11] for details of computation.

By the change of the variable $\frac{\phi(x)}{\varepsilon} = y$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx &= \int_{U \cap \Omega} \eta^2 |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \int_{U \cap \Omega} \eta (\Delta \eta) v_\varepsilon^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v(y)|^2 dy - 2 \int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \partial_N v(y) \nabla' v(y) \cdot (\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y') dy + O(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

By using integration by parts and equation (4.1), the second term can be estimated as the following.

$$\begin{aligned} &-2 \int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \partial_N v(y) \nabla' v(y) \cdot (\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \partial_N v(y) \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_{ii} v(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \partial_N \left[(\partial_N v(y))^2\right] \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &\quad - \frac{2\lambda}{2^*(s_1)\varepsilon} \int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta \left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \frac{\partial_N \left[v(y)^{2^*(s_1)}\right]}{|y|^{s_1}} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{2}{2^*(s_2)\varepsilon}\int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \frac{\partial_N\left[v(y)^{2^*(s_2)}\right]}{|y|^{s_2}}\varphi(\varepsilon y')dy + O(\varepsilon^2)\\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + O(\varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 at 0, φ can be expanded as

$$
\varphi(y') = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\alpha_i y_i^2 + o(1)|y'|^2.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^N_+} \eta\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y')\right)^2 \partial_N v(y',0)\varphi(\varepsilon y')dy'\\
&= \varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\alpha_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}\left(\partial_N v(y',0)\right)^2 y_i^2 dy'(1+o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2) = K_1 H(0)\varepsilon(1+o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}|\partial_N v(y',0)|^2|y'|^2 dy',
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -\frac{2\lambda s_1}{2^*(s_1)\varepsilon}\int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \frac{v(y)^{2^*(s_1)}y_N}{|y|^{2+s_1}}\varphi(\varepsilon y')dy\\
&= -K_2 H(0)(1+o(1))\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_2 = \frac{2\lambda s_1}{2^*(s_1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}\frac{v(y)^{2^*(s_1)}|y'|^2 y_N}{|y|^{2+s_1}}dy,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= -\frac{2s_2}{2^*(s_2)\varepsilon}\int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}} \eta\left(\phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)\right)^2 \frac{v(y)^{2^*(s_2)}|y'|^2 y_N}{|y|^{2+s_2}}\varphi(\varepsilon y')dy\\
&= -K_3 H(0)\varepsilon(1+o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
K_3 = \frac{2s_2}{2^*(s_2)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}\frac{v(y)^{2^*(s_2)}|y'|^2 y_N}{|y|^{2+s_2}}dy.
$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), K_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are finite. Therefore, we have

$$
\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}|\nabla v|^2 dy + \varepsilon H(0)(K_1 - K_2 - K_3)(1+o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2),
$$

and similarly, we have

$$
\lambda\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*(s_1)}}{|x|^{s_1}}dx = \lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+}\frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}}dy - \frac{s\lambda}{\varepsilon}\int_{B^+_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}}\frac{v(y)^{2^*(s_1)}y_N\varphi(\varepsilon y')}{|y|^{2+s_1}}dy + O(\varepsilon^2)
$$

$$= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy - 2^*(s_1) K_2 H(0) \varepsilon (1 + o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*(s_2)}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_2)}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy - 2^*(s_2) K_3 H(0) \varepsilon (1 + o(1)) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Therfore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varPhi(tu_\varepsilon) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy - \frac{\lambda t^{2^*(s_1)}}{2^*(s_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy - \frac{t^{2^*(s_2)}}{2^*(s_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_2)}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy \\ &+ \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\varepsilon H(0)(K_1 - K_2 - K_3) + o(1) \right) + \frac{t^{2^*(s_1)}}{2} K_2 H(0)(1 + o(1)) \\ &+ \frac{t^{2^*(s_2)}}{2} K_3 H(0)(1 + o(1)) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) =: f_1(t) + f_2(t) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_1(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy - \frac{\lambda t^{2^*(s_1)}}{2^*(s_1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy - \frac{t^{2^*(s_2)}}{2^*(s_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_1)}}{|y|^{s_1}} dy.$$

Since $2^*(s_2) > 2^*(s_1)$, $\varPhi(tu_\varepsilon)$ has the unique maximum. Note that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} f_1(t) = f_1(1) = c_1.$$

Hence, the maximum of $\varPhi(tu_\varepsilon)$ occurs at $t_\varepsilon = 1 + o(1)$. By noting that

$$f_2(t) = \varepsilon H(0) \left[\frac{t^2}{2} (K_1 - K_2 - K_3 + o(1)) + \frac{t^{2^*(s_1)}}{2} K_2 (1 + o(1)) + \frac{t^{2^*(s_2)}}{2} K_3 (1 + o(1)) \right],$$

and $f_2(1) = \varepsilon H(0) K_1 (1 + o(1)) < 0$. Hence, we have

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \varPhi(tu_\varepsilon) = \varPhi(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \leq f_1(t_\varepsilon) + f_2(t_\varepsilon) < f_1(t_\varepsilon) \leq f_1(1) = c_1.$$

Thus Lemma 4.1 is proved. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As before, we let for small positive ε,

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p_1(\varepsilon) + 1} \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_1}} dx - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon) + 1} \int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx,$$

where $p_1(\varepsilon) = 2^*(s_1) - 1 - \varepsilon$ and $p_2(\varepsilon) = 2^*(s_2) - 1 - \left(\frac{2-s_2}{2-s_1}\right)\varepsilon$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $u_0 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ such that $\Phi_\varepsilon(u_0) \leq 0$ and

$$c^*_\varepsilon = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi_\varepsilon(w),$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of all continuous paths in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ connecting 0 with u_0. Let c_1 be the positive constant defined by (4.2). Then Lemma 4.1 yields

$$c^*_\varepsilon < c_1, \tag{4.4}$$

provided that $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ for some small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a solution $u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta u_\varepsilon + \lambda \frac{u^{p_1(\varepsilon)}}{|x|^{s_1}} + \frac{u^{p_2(\varepsilon)}}{|x|^{s_2}} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega,\\
&u_\varepsilon = 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\Phi_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$. Similar to (3.2), we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \le C_1,$$

for some constant C_1 independent of ε. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume

$$u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u \qquad \text{in} \qquad H_0^1(\Omega).$$

If $u \not\equiv 0$, then clearly u is a solution of (1.1) and Theorem 1.1 is proved. So it remains to prove $u \not\equiv 0$ in Ω.

Suppose $u \equiv 0$. As in Section 3, there exists $x_\varepsilon \in \Omega$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = \max u_\varepsilon(x) = m_\varepsilon \to +\infty,$$

and after a linear transformation on y, we have

$$v_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon y)}{m_\varepsilon} \to v(y) \qquad \text{in} \qquad C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N_+),$$

where $k_\varepsilon = m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{N-2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2-s_1}}$ and v satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta v + \lambda \frac{v^{p_1}}{|y|^{s_1}} + \frac{v^{p_2}}{|y|^{s_2}} = 0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad \mathbb{R}^N_+,\\
&v = 0 \qquad \text{on} \qquad \mathbb{R}^N_+.
\end{aligned}$$

Also by a direct computation, we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_\Omega \frac{u^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{p_2+1}}{|y|^{s_2}} dy. \tag{4.6}$$

By (3.2), we have

$$c^*_\varepsilon = \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1}\Big) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \Big(\frac{1}{p_1(\varepsilon)+1} - \frac{1}{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}\Big) \int_\Omega \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p_2(\varepsilon)+1}}{|x|^{s_2}} dx.$$

Thus, (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$c^* = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} c^*_\varepsilon = \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s_1)}\Big) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} |\nabla v|^2 dy + \Big(\frac{1}{2^*(s_1)} - \frac{1}{2^*(s_2)}\Big) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_+} \frac{v^{2^*(s_2)}}{|y^{s_2}|} dy = c_1,$$

which contradicts to (4.4). Hence, $u \not\equiv 0$, and then Theorem 1.1 is proved.

5 The Case $s_2 = 0$

As discussed in Introduction, equation (1.1) with $\lambda < 0$ has no least-energy solutions. In this sectin, we will consider a perturbed equation from equation (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} + u^p + u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \text{ and } u(x) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose $2^*(s)-1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 4$. Then equation (5.1) has a positive solution.*

Proof. Let

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2^*(s)}\int_\Omega \frac{(u^+)^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \frac{1}{p+1}\int_\Omega (u^+)^{p+1} dx - \frac{N-2}{2N}\int_\Omega (u^+)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx.$$

Choose $0 \neq x_0 \in \Omega$ and

$$v_\mu(x) = \phi(x)\left(\frac{\mu}{1+\mu^2|x-x_0|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$$

for large $\mu > 0$, where $\phi(x)$ is a cut-off function near x_0. Then it is not difficult to show that

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \Phi(tv_\mu) = \Phi(t_0 v_\mu) < \frac{1}{N} S_N^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

provided that $2^*(s) - 1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ and μ is sufficiently large. Let $u_0 = t_0 v_\mu$, and

$$c_* = \inf_{P\in\mathcal{P}} \max_{w\in P} \Phi(w),$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of continuous paths in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ connecting 0 and u_0. Then it is easy to see

$$0 < c_* < \frac{1}{N} S_N^{\frac{N}{2}}. \tag{5.2}$$

We claim: c_* is a critical value for Φ. By the deformation lemma (see lemma in []), there exists $u_j \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(u_j) = c_*(1+o(1)), \\ \int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{p+1} dx - \int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx = o(1)\|u_j\|_{H_0^1}, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $j \to +\infty$. By (5.2) and (5.3), we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^2 dx \leq C$$

for some constant C independent of j. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we assume

$$\begin{cases} u_j \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_j \to u \quad \text{in } L^{p+1}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

If $u \neq 0$, then it is easy to see u is a solution of (5.1) and $\Phi(u) = c_*$. Hence, it remains to show that $u \not\equiv 0$. We prove it by contradiction.

Now suppose $u \equiv 0$, and set

$$A = \underline{\lim}_{j\to+\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^2 dx, \quad B = \underline{\lim}_{j\to+\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \text{ and } C = \underline{\lim}_{j\to+\infty} \int_\Omega (u_j^+)^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx.$$

Then (5.3) implies

$$c_* = \frac{A}{2} + \frac{B}{2^*(s)} - \frac{N-2}{2N} C, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$C = A + B. \tag{5.5}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, (5.5) implies

$$C = A + B \geq A \geq S_N C^{1-\frac{2}{N}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$C \geq S_N^{\frac{N}{2}} \text{ and } A \geq S_N C^{1-\frac{2}{N}} \geq S_N^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Then (5.4) yields

$$c_* = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{N-2}{2N})A + (\frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{N-2}{2N})B \geq \frac{1}{N} S_N^{\frac{N}{2}},$$

a contradiction to (5.2). Hence, Theorem 5.1 is proved. ☐

References

[1] T. Bartsch, S. Peng and Z. Zhang, *Existence and non-existence of solutions to elliptic equations related to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2007), no. 1, 113–136.

[2] H. Berestycki and L. Nirenberg, *Monotonicity, symmetry and antisymmetry of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Geom. Phys. 5 (1988), no. 2, 237–275.

[3] H. Brézis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), no.4, 437–477.

[4] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), no. 3, 259–275.

[5] D. Cao and P. Han, *Solutions to critical equation with multi-singular inverse square potentials*, J. Differential Equations **224** (2006), no. 2, 332–372.

[6] F. Catrina and Z. Q. Wang, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), no.2, 229–258.

[7] C. C. Chen and C. S. Lin, *A spherical Harnack inequality for singular solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **30** (2001), (2002), no.3-4, 713–738.

[8] C. C. Chen and C. S. Lin, *Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), no.10, 971–1017.

[9] C. C. Chen and C. S. Lin, *Estimate of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. II*, J. Differential Geom. **49** (1998), no. 1, 115–178.

[10] C. C. Chen and C. S. Lin, *Local behavior of singular positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with Sobolev exponent*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), no. 2, 315–334.

[11] J. L. Chern and C.S. Lin, *Minimizers of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities on domains with the singularity on the boundary*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **197** (2010), 401–432.

[12] K. S. Chou and C. W. Chu, *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 1, 137–151.

[13] J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban and G. Tarantello, *The role of Onofri type inequalities in the symmetry properties of extremals for Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, in two space dimensions*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 7 (2008), no. 2, 313–341.

[14] N. Ghoussoub and X. S. Kang, *Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **21** (2004), no.6, 767–793.

[15] N. Ghoussoub and F. Robert, *The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no.6, 1201–1245.

[16] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A, pp. 369–402, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., **7a**, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.

[17] C. H. Hsia, C. S. Lin and H. Wadade, *Revisiting an idea of Brézis and Nirenberg*, to appear in J. Funct. Anal..

[18] Q. Jin, Y. Y. Li and H. Xu, Symmetry and Asymmetry: The method of moving spheres, Advances in Differential Equations 13 (2008), 601-640.

[19] Y. Y. Li, *Prescribing scalar curvature on* S^n *and related problems. I*, J. Differential Equations **120** (1995), no. 2, 319–410.

[20] Y. Y. Li and L. Zhang, Liouville type theorems and Harnack type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations, Journal d'Analyse Mathematique 90 (2003), 27-87.

[21] Y. Y. Li and M. Zhu, Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres, Duke Math. J. 80 (1995), 383-417.

[22] E. H. Lieb, *Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities*, Ann. of Math. **118** (1983), no.2, 349–374.

[23] C. S. Lin, *Interpolation inequalities with weights*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 14, 1515–1538.

[24] C. S. Lin and Z. Q. Wang, *Symmetry of extremal functions for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), no.6, 1685–1691.

[25] R. Musina, *Ground state solutions of a critical problem involving cylinderical weights*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), no. 12, 3972–3986.

[26] J. Serrin, *A symmetry problem in potential theory*, Arch. Ration. Mech. **43** (1971), 304–318.

YanYan Li
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA
yyli@math.rutgers.edu

Chang-Shou Lin
Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Department of Mathematics
National Taiwan University
Taipei 106, Taiwan
cslin@math.ntu.edu.tw